

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,855	SHIBUYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gautam R. Patel	2627	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** First Action

 (1) Gautam R. Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. James Love.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Yang US 5,553,050; Ymamoto US 5,119,355

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was pointed out by the Examiner that claim 21 is very broad and several arts read on it as 102 (b). If claim 21 is to be cancelled the application may be allowed. After Mr. Love consulted with the Applicants, and agreed to cancel claim 21..*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)